

Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan/ Natural Community Conservation Plan

Overview of HCP/NCCP Process

Santa Clara County Farm Bureau
March 6, 2007

Jack Sutcliffe
Stakeholder Representative



SANTA CLARA VALLEY HCP/NCCP PARTNERSHIP



 Jones & Stokes

Overview Outline

- ◆ What is an HCP and NCCP?
- ◆ HCP/NCCP Origins
- ◆ Study Area
- ◆ What the Plan will and will not do
- ◆ Benefits to Santa Clara County
- ◆ Process and Schedule
- ◆ Covered Species

What is an HCP/NCCP?

- ◆ Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan
- ◆ Federal/State mechanism to resolve conflicts between threatened and endangered species and development
- ◆ Plan to conserve species and habitats in exchange for permits to “take” threatened or endangered species
- ◆ What is “take”?
 - Under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), “take” is defined as “an action or attempt to hunt, harm, harass, pursue, shoot, wound, capture, kill, trap, or collect a species.”

Santa Clara Valley HCP/NCCP Origins


- ◆ USFWS Sect. 7 Biological Opinion (2001) requires regional HCP as condition of approval for
 - Highway 101 widening (San Jose to Morgan Hill)
 - Bailey Avenue interchange
 - Highway 85/101 interchange
 - Coyote Valley Research Park
- ◆ Original Local Partners bound by MOU (June 2004)
 - Santa Clara County
 - City of San Jose
 - Santa Clara Valley Water District
 - Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
- ◆ New Partners added in 2005
 - City of Gilroy
 - City of Morgan Hill
- ◆ NCCP Planning Agreement signed by all October 2005



Study Area

- ◆ SCV HCP/NCCP Boundary based on
 - Watershed boundaries (Coyote, Uvas/Llagas/Pacheco)
 - Serpentine and Bay checkerspot butterfly habitat
 - Jurisdictional boundaries (San Jose, County)
 - Land use boundaries (County Parks)
- ◆ 520,000 acres

Study Area



Endangered Species Permit Process

Current Process

- ◆ Costly surveys & time consuming, uncertain agency negotiations
- ◆ Mitigation requirements & costs continue to increase over time
- ◆ Project by project basis = small, unconnected mitigation w/ inconsistent management & monitoring
- ◆ CDFG & US FWS separately issue or deny permits
- ◆ Project proponent implements costly on- or off-site mitigation and monitoring on-site before and during construction

Process With HCP/NCCP

- ◆ Clearly defined, limited and inexpensive survey requirements
- ◆ Project proponent incorporates pre-defined design elements when project occurs adjacent to open space
- ◆ Local jurisdiction processes application under the HCP/NCCP (CDFG & USFWS is never contacted)
- ◆ Pre-defined HCP/NCCP fee based on formulas (e.g. acres of impact) or land in lieu of fee option
- ◆ Project proponent implements BMPs, design measures, and limited pre-construction surveys and monitoring for covered species that may occur

What the HCP/NCCP Will Do

- ◆ **Provide permits** from USFWS, NMFS, and CDFG for “take” of listed species to Local Partners
- ◆ **Streamline projects.** Local Partner jurisdictions will extend this take authorization to applicants who meet requirements of HCP/NCCP
- ◆ **Improve mitigation.** Provide large-scale habitat and species conservation in areas of high biological value—avoid project-by-project approach
- ◆ **Provide new money.** Attract new sources of state and federal funding for conservation in Santa Clara County

What the HCP/NCCP Will Not Do

- ◆ Address all endangered species needs in the County
- ◆ Eliminate all other permitting requirements
 - Projects must still comply with CA Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and other local, state and federal laws
- ◆ Solve all environmental conflicts in the County
 - Water quality
 - Viewsheds
 - Riparian setbacks

Benefits & Concerns

Interest group	Benefit	Concern
Development	Certainty of process, reduced permit costs, faster approval	May limit land use, new fees, long process
Landowner/Ag	Ag/ranchland preservation, new market for open space	May restrict land management, perception of more govt regs
Environmental	Large habitat preserves, controls urban sprawl, ongoing monitoring & adaptive management	Facilitates development, may be net loss of habitat
Local Agencies	Local control of ESA issues, streamline approval process for public projects and O&M, new funding sources	Long, staff intensive process, responsible for implementing plan
General Public	More open space, more recreational opportunities	Perception of new fee, high cost to develop and implement plan

Benefits to Santa Clara County

- ◆ Project proponents have streamlined permitting process
- ◆ Local control over endangered species permit
- ◆ Creates more open space opportunities within the county
- ◆ Help implement County General Plan
- ◆ Protects watersheds

HCP/NCCP Schedule and Phases

Phase	Major Deliverables	Schedule
Phase 1: Baseline Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Covered species and activities ◆ Land cover and land use maps ◆ Existing conditions in study area 	July 2005 - June 2006 (1 year)
Phase 2: Conservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 3 preliminary draft alternatives for conservation strategy ◆ Draft selected conservation strategy 	July 2006 – Aug. 2007 (14 months)
Phase 3: Draft Documents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1st Administrative Draft HCP/NCCP ◆ 2nd Administrative Draft HCP/NCCP ◆ Public Draft HCP/NCCP 	Sept. 2007 – Aug. 2008 (1 year)
Phase 4: Permit Processing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Final HCP/NCCP and Permits ◆ Assistance with IA and Permitting 	Sept. 2008 to Jan. 2009 (5 months)

Covered Species

Currently recommend 29 species be covered by Plan:
14 wildlife species



Townsend's big-eared bat



Western pond turtle



San Joaquin kit fox



Golden eagle



Steelhead trout



Tricolored blackbird



California red-legged frog



California tiger salamander



Photo by Richard A. Arnold

Bay checkerspot butterfly




Western burrowing owl

Covered Species

15 plant species



Most beautiful
jewelflower



Santa Clara Valley dudleya



Smooth lessingia

© 2003 Dean Wm. Taylor



Fragrant fritillary

© 1999 California Academy of Sciences



Hall's bush mallow

© 2005 David A. Tharp



Coyote ceanothus

© 2005 Janell Hillman



Mt. Hamilton thistle



Chaparral harebell

© 2001 California Academy of Sciences

Community Meeting – May 10, 2007

- ◆ Learn more about the HCP/NCCP
- ◆ Comment on key habitat conservation planning issues such as conservation strategies, covered species and covered activities on

Thursday, May 10, 2007

Morgan Hill Community and Cultural Center
17000 Monterey Road, Morgan Hill

6:30pm Open House

7:00pm – 8:30pm Presentation and Q&A

Questions & Answers

Contact Information

Ken Schreiber or Ranu Aggarwal
Santa Clara Valley HCP/NCCP Program
East Wing, 7th Floor
County Government Center
Ken (408) 299-5789
Ranu (408) 299-5795

ken.schreiber@pln.sccgov.org

ranu.aggarwal@pln.sccgov.org

[**www.scv-habitatplan.org**](http://www.scv-habitatplan.org)